1		HONORABLE RICHARD A. JONES	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
-	INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I		
9	LLC, et al.,	CASE NO. C11-1145RAJ	
10 11	Plaintiffs,	ORDER	
12	V.		
13	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., et		
14	al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16	This matter comes before the court on a	motion to stay (Dkt. # 54) from most of	
17	the Defendants in this action. Defendants ask	the court to stay the action pending the	
18	disposition of a proceeding involving the same	parties in the International Trade	
19	Commission, In re Certain Dynamic Random	Access Memory & NAND Flash Memory	
20	Devices & Products Containing Same, No. 33'	7-TA-803 ("ITC Proceeding"). Three of	
21	the Defendants who did not expressly join the	motion (Defendants Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.,	
22	Nanya Technology, and Nanya Technology Corporation, USA) later filed notices that		
23	they joined in the motion. Plaintiffs have recently filed a notice of voluntary dismissal of		
24	their claims against the remaining two Defenda	ants (Pantech Co., Ltd. and Pantech	

Wireless, Inc.).

25

26

27

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Plaintiffs do not oppose the motion for stay. They ask, however, that the court rule that Defendants have waived "procedural rights, such as jurisdiction" by moving for a stay. The court declines to address this argument, as Plaintiffs have not attempted to explain what they mean by "procedural rights, such as jurisdiction." If Plaintiffs wish to explain what they mean, they may do so after the court lifts the stay.

The court orders as follows:

- 1) Plaintiffs' claims against Pantech Co., Ltd. and Pantech Wireless, Inc. are dismissed without prejudice in accordance with Plaintiffs notice of voluntary dismissal (Dkt. # 64) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i). The court directs the clerk to TERMINATE Pantech Co., Ltd. and Pantech Wireless, Inc. as parties.
- 2) The court GRANTS the motion to stay. Dkt. # 54. The court STAYS this action pending resolution of the ITC Proceeding or further order of this court. Plaintiffs are responsible for informing the court of any developments in the ITC Proceeding that might affect the stay.

Dated this 14th day of October, 2011.

The Honorable Richard A. Jones United States District Court Judge

Richard A Jones